

# DELIVERING ON THE PLEDGE: REFORMING CANADA'S ACCESS TO MEDICINES REGIME

Submission to the Government of Canada  
January 2007

Canada's Access to Medicines Regime (CAMR) is failing to meet its goals. The legislation aims to make it possible for Canadian companies to obtain "compulsory licences" that would authorize the manufacture and export of lower-cost, generic versions of patented drugs needed by people in developing and least-developed countries.<sup>1</sup> The legislation is based on a World Trade Organization (WTO) decision adopted on August 30th, 2003.<sup>2</sup> Since Canada passed its law in May 2004, not a single drug has been exported. The World Health Organization estimates that over the same period, more than 25 million people have died because they did not have access to existing medicines and vaccines.<sup>3</sup>

Most people living with HIV/AIDS continue to be denied life-saving treatment, in part due to the high costs of patented drugs. The need for affordable medicines is clear; and Canada must ensure that the CAMR fulfills its humanitarian goals.

The federal government is currently reviewing the legislation, and is required to report to Parliament by May 2007. There is an urgent need to make this legislation effective for those in need of life-saving drugs. The current processes, however, are unnecessarily long and expensive, greatly limiting the legislation's potential for responding to public health needs. We, the undersigned, call on the Canadian government to take the following steps:

GLOBAL  
TREATMENT  
ACCESS  
GROUP  
GTAG



Canadian HIV/AIDS Legal Network



Interagency Coalition on AIDS and Development



Canadian Crossroads International  
Carrefour Canadien International

Oxfam  
Canada



Canadian Labour Congress  
Congrès du travail du Canada



The North-South Institute • L'Institut Nord-Sud



CANADA'S COALITION TO END GLOBAL POVERTY  
ENSEMBLE POUR ÉLIMINER LA PAUVRETÉ DANS LE MONDE



World Vision

The Global Treatment Access Group (GTAG) is a working group of international development, human rights, humanitarian, and AIDS service organizations, trade unions, student groups and faith-based groups seeking to improve access to essential medicines and other aspects of HIV prevention and care, treatment and support for people living with HIV/AIDS in developing countries.

## **1. Provide authorizations to export which are not limited to a single drug-order for a single country.**

This can be done in a number of ways:

**[a.] Create a standing statutory authorization permitting export of generic medicines to eligible countries:** Parliament could enact legislation that authorizes the manufacture of generic versions of any drug patented in Canada for export to any eligible country specified in the legislation. The law would also require that any generic manufacturer exporting under this statutory authorization periodically disclose the dollar value of the contracts it has negotiated with various importing countries and remit to the patent-holder the required royalties, following the formula in the existing Canadian law. This approach is much simpler and more direct than the cumbersome process found in Canada's current law and in the WTO Decision of 2003, and still complies with Canada's WTO obligations.<sup>4</sup>

**[b.] On any given drug, grant a single, open-ended license to a given manufacturer:** Instead of requiring a generic manufacturer to apply for a separate licence to satisfy every separate order of a drug, the law could grant that manufacturer one initial compulsory licence on a drug. The licence would authorize the company to export that drug to any eligible country specified in the legislation, on the condition that the generic company pay royalties to the patent-holder, following the formula in the existing law. This approach would also be more streamlined than Canada's current law and, just like option [a], would comply with WTO rules.

**[c.] Introduce a simple, fast process for licenses additional to the first license:** Even if the law were still to require a separate licence for every single drug order, it should at least provide for a simple, rapid process for amending or supplementing the original licence to authorize the export a) of additional quantities of the drug, b) to additional eligible countries, or c) for an extended period of time. This would be a more streamlined implementation of the WTO Decision of 2003 on which Canada's current legislation is based.

## **2. Remove unnecessarily restrictive and time-consuming steps in the licensing process.**

The legislation underpinning the CAMR creates procedural steps not required by the WTO Decision or prior Canadian law. The following changes would address this:

**[a.] Remove the time limit on licenses granted:** Licenses should cover the duration of the remaining patent term on the drug to be exported. The current time-limit of 2 years is arbitrary and not required by the WTO Decision of 2003. This measure constitutes a major barrier to the participation of generic companies, since they must re-initiate the long approval process to continue exporting the product beyond a 2-year period. This also prevents generic companies from guaranteeing to purchasers that they will be able to continue supplying after two years.

- [b.] Limit the requirement of negotiating with a patent-holder before seeking a compulsory licence:** These negotiations involve high costs and considerable delays. Canada's legislation should provide clear limits on the negotiations required. Following WTO rules, where the importing country wants to import the drug to address a national emergency or similar circumstance, or for public non-commercial use, there should be no requirement that the generic manufacturer first try to negotiate a voluntary licence.
- [c.] Eliminate the list of eligible drugs:** The WTO Decision does not require any limitation to specific drugs; this type of provision was debated and rejected at the WTO level. The list of drugs in CAMR (Schedule 1 of the *Patent Act*) has resulted in months of unnecessary delays.
- [d.] Eliminate the absolute requirement of Health Canada approval:** This measure is not required by the WTO Decision of 2003, nor do other drugs require Health Canada approval for export. Many developing countries will require "pre-qualification" of both the generic manufacturer and the drug in question by the World Health Organization before purchasing it. Requiring Health Canada approval of the generic manufacturer's product as an absolute precondition before the manufacturer can get a licence to produce for export can lead to duplication of effort and add months of unnecessary delay. Canada should accept either Health Canada approval or WHO pre-qualification as sufficient to permit export of a generic drug produced under a compulsory licence.
- [e.] Eliminate patent-holders' extra litigation rights:** In three separate provisions, the legislation underpinning the CAMR includes eleven separate grounds on which a patent-holder can start legal proceedings against the generic manufacturer at different stages (ss. 21.08(5), 21.14, 21.17). These are unnecessary additions to existing legal recourses under the *Patent Act*.
- [f.] Eliminate the requirement that NGOs get the "permission" of the importing country government:** Under Canada's current law, an NGO providing humanitarian relief in an eligible developing country has to get the "permission" of that country's government to import under CAMR. (This is in addition to the existing, sensible requirement that the medicine be approved for use by the importing country's drug regulatory authority.) Requiring this extra permission for NGOs to do their jobs is not required by any WTO rules, and creates an additional, unnecessary barrier to patients getting the medicines they need.
- [g.] Eliminate double-standards that apply to some importing countries:** Under the current law, if a developing country does not belong to the WTO, it faces additional barriers to importing generic medicines from a Canadian producer, such as the requirement to declare a national emergency or similar situation. These additional hurdles are not required under WTO rules of WTO member countries. Patients' access to more affordable medicines should not depend on whether their country belongs to the WTO.

## **Submitted by:**

### *Organizational signatories:*

AIDS Committee of Ottawa  
AIDS Vancouver  
Africafiles  
British Columbia Persons With AIDS Society  
Canada Africa Community Health Alliance  
Canadian AIDS Society  
Canadian Association of Nurses in AIDS Care  
Canadian Council for International Co-operation  
Canadian Crossroads International  
Canadian HIV/AIDS Legal Network  
Canadian Labour Congress  
Canadian Physicians for Aid and Relief  
Canadian Society for International Health  
Canadian Treatment Action Council  
CARE Canada  
Central Alberta AIDS Network Society  
Human Rights Working Group on HIV/AIDS and  
Public Health, Faculty of Law, McGill University  
Interagency Coalition on AIDS and Development  
International Council of AIDS Service Organizations  
KAIROS: Canadian Ecumenical Justice Initiatives  
Marquis Project  
McGill Global AIDS Coalition  
Doctors Without Borders Canada  
North-South Institute  
Oxfam Canada  
People's Health Movement (Canada)  
RESULTS Canada  
Students Against Global AIDS, National Committee  
UNICEF Canada  
United Church of Canada  
Universities Allied for Essential Medicine  
Western Aboriginal Harm Reduction Society  
World Vision Canada  
Youth Challenge International

### *Individual signatories:*

Payam Akhavan, Associate Professor, Faculty of  
Law, McGill University; former Legal Advisor to the  
Prosecutor's Office of the International Criminal  
Tribunals for Former Yugoslavia and Rwanda  
Franco Berruti, Dean of Engineering, University of  
Western Ontario  
Robert Leckey, Assistant Professor, Faculty of Law,  
McGill University  
Stephen Lewis, Former UN Special Envoy for  
HIV/AIDS in Africa  
Chandra Madramootoo, Dean, Faculty of Agriculture  
and Environmental Sciences, McGill University  
Desmond Manderson, Canada Research Chair in Law  
and Discourse, Faculty of Law, McGill University  
James Orbinski, Former Director of MSF International;  
Associate Professor, Faculty of Medicine &  
Department of Political Science, University of Toronto  
John Orłowski, James McGill Professor and Chair,  
Department of Physiology, McGill University  
David M. Paciocco, Professor, Common Law Section,  
Faculty of Law, University of Ottawa  
Tina Piper, Assistant Professor, Faculty of Law, McGill  
University  
Marie-Claude Prémont, Associate Dean, Graduate  
Studies, Faculty of Law, McGill University  
René Provost, Director, Centre for Human Rights and  
Legal Pluralism; Associate Professor, Faculty of Law,  
McGill University  
Shauna Van Praagh, Associate Professor, Faculty of  
Law, McGill University  
Mark Wainberg, Director; McGill AIDS Centre;  
Professor, Department of Medicine, McGill  
University; Co-Chair of the XVI International AIDS  
Conference  
Brian Ward, Associate Professor, Centre for the Study  
of Host Resistance, McGill University  
David Zakus, Director, Centre for International Health;  
Associate Professor, Department of Public Health  
Sciences; Associate Professor, Department of Health  
Policy, Management and Evaluation, Faculty of  
Medicine, University of Toronto; President, Global  
Health Education

---

## **References**

- <sup>1</sup> The legislation which created the CAMR is commonly known as the *Jean Chrétien Pledge to Africa*. The goal of the CAMR and other features are described at <http://camr-rcam.hc-sc.gc.ca>.
  - <sup>2</sup> The WTO Decision can be seen at [http://www.wto.org/english/tratop\\_e/trips\\_e/implem\\_para6\\_e.htm](http://www.wto.org/english/tratop_e/trips_e/implem_para6_e.htm).
  - <sup>3</sup> World Health Organization (WHO). (2004) *Equitable access to essential medicines: A framework for collective action*. Geneva: WHO. Available at [http://whqlibdoc.who.int/hq/2004/WHO\\_EDM\\_2004.4.pdf](http://whqlibdoc.who.int/hq/2004/WHO_EDM_2004.4.pdf)
  - <sup>4</sup> The WTO Decision of 2003 "is without prejudice to the rights, obligations and flexibilities that Members have under the provisions of the TRIPS Agreement other than paragraphs (f) and (h) of Article 31". Article 30 of TRIPS allows Canada to create "limited exceptions" to exclusive patent rights.
- 

This briefing paper is available at [www.aidslaw.ca/gtag](http://www.aidslaw.ca/gtag).

*Ce document est également disponible en français.*