4: Strategic Litigation (three-part form) —

^{4.} Strategic Litigation

Tool 4 Strategic Litigation Pre-Assessment, Mid-Term and Final Review

I. PRE-ASSESSMENT 1. Case Merits and Alignment with Priorities A. Basic Case Information	
 1. The name of the case in question: 2. The Legal Network's role in the case and the stage at which it will be involved: applicant / lower court appellant or respondent / appellate court appellant or respondent / Supreme Court intervener / lower court 	 Current or former drug user Current or former sex worker Experienced gender-based violence Person living with HIV Other:
 intervener / appellate court intervener/Supreme Court party or intervener / regional or international court 3. Is this a favourable venue/judge? 	9. What are the legal and human rights arguments that we might deploy and advance in this case?
4. What is at issue?	
 5. What Legal Network strategic priority is this case most relevant? How relevant is it? very somewhat marginally 6. What is the gender identity of the relevant party? 	 10. Does the case have potential to set a positive legal precedent that would affect a large number/class of people? Yes No 11. What bad precedent or other adverse effect might result, if we were to lose the case?
 7. What is the profile of the relevant party (e.g. defendant, applicant)? (Check all that apply.) Indigenous or other racialized group 	 12. How would you rate that risk? (1) Non-existent (2) Very low

(3) Low

(5) High

(4) Moderate

- 🔲 Migrant
- Current or former prisoner
- LGBTQI

35

Advocacy and Social Justice: Measuring IMPACT

B. Other Aspects of Legal Advocacy

- **13.** Does this case have the potential to empower affected communities through awareness-raising, capacity-building and making their voice heard?
 - (1) High potential
 - (2) Some potential
 - (3) Limited potential
- 14. If high or some potential, what needs to be done to realize that potential?
- **15.** Will this case help mobilize support from key influential and attract new supporters? If so, whom?
- 16. Does this case present the Legal Network with any kind of reputational risk (inconsistent with mission and values, controversial, lack of professionalism, etc.)? If so, please specify.

17. Will the case support the work of ally organizations?

- (1) Yes
- (2) Somewhat
- 🔲 (3) No

Would they in turn reinforce our work?

4: Strategic Litigation (three-part form)

CONTINUE	D FROM PAGE	36			
C. Summar	y Assessment	on Merits	of Case		
18. Overal	, how strateg	ic is it to ir	ntervene	in this case on its merits?	25. Is the Legal Network likely to inc fees for disbursements)?
1 not at a	2 all	3	4	5 very strategic	 No, will not incur cost. Yes, likely to incur cost. Estimation
	Consideratio ristics of the c		rgue for a	r against our involvement	
19. Is the r	elevant party	's lawyers	willing to	o work with us?	B. Organizational capacity, given comp
(1) Ye	es, definitely				26 . What work would/might need to
(2) Y	es, with some	reluctanc	е		legal staff to meet commitment
litigati	he Legal Netv on on this iss ery strong trad	ue?	a track re	cord of research and/or	
(2) S (3) L	colid record imited experie his is a new a	ence	ation		27. What would likely be the demand For example, press releases, me
21. What w	ould the Leg	al Networ	k's role be	e in the case?	
🔲 Lea	d				
🔲 Sec	ondary				
🔲 Lim	ited, time-bou	nd suppor	t		28. Does the communications team
 22. Potential responsibilities (check all that apply): Prepare legal arguments Review legal arguments Coordinate lawyers, coalition partners, etc. Engage with the media 				advance a positive message an especially if the Legal Network h issue at hand?	
🔲 Moł	oilize support	organizatio	ns		
23. Is ther Netwo	-			represent the Legal	 29. Given other priorities, does the capacity to take this on? (Check (1) Definitely not (2) Very limited capacity
(3) (es, likely Inlikely Definitely not				(3) Some capacity(4) Depends on timing
(5) 1	lot needed (e)	(plain why)			 30. Are there NGO or community pa the communications and organ (1) Yes, definitely (2) Probably

24. What is the estimated time that research and policy staff would need to dedicate to this?

Please estimate the average _____days per week for _____ weeks.

- cur other costs for this case (e.g.
 - ted cost \$_____
- peting demands
- be deferred or dropped by the ts for this case?
- ds for communications support? dia outreach, etc.
- have the capacity to develop and d set the narrative on the case, as limited experience with the
- communications team have the all that apply.)
- rtners that could assume some of izing tasks?
 - (3) Some limited support
 - (4) Not really

31. If yes, who are they and what could they take on?

32. Is there funding available (a) to support staff time (i.e. researchers and communications team) to work on this case and (b) to cover any legal fees and disbursements?

C. Summary Assessment on Practical Aspects of Getting Involved in the Case

33. Overall, how practical is it for the Legal Network to take on this case, without putting at risk other priorities and/or over-extending staff?

2

1 Very impractical

Very practical and manageable

4

DECISION: Reviewing the strategic and practical issues, should the Legal Network

get involved in the case? _____ (Keep this form for ongoing use) not get involved the case? _____ (End of documentation: Store form in the archives)

3

Additional comments:

Tool 4 Strategic Litigation Pre-Assessment, Monitoring and Final Review

II MID-TERM REVIEW

1. Practical Considerations

1. Partway through case, what is the Legal Network's role in the case?	6. Over the next <u>six</u> months, demands on communication staff for		
Lead	this case (check all that apply)		
Secondary	is likely to increase		
Limited, time-bound support	is likely to stay about the same		
2. What are our responsibilities currently and in the future? (Check all	will begin to decrease		
that apply.)	U will end		
Prepare legal arguments	is sustainable		
Review legal arguments	is barely sustainable		
Coordinate lawyers, coalition partners, etc.	will compromise other work		
Engage with the media	7. Is there funding available to continue to support staff time to work		
Mobilize support organizations	on this case?		
Other:			
 What amount of time are research and policy staff dedicating to this currently? days per week. Over the next six months, the time dedicated to this case (check all that apply) 	8. Have legal fees (if any) and disbursements been as expected? If not, are the funds available sufficient to continue?		
is likely to increase			
🔲 is likely to stay about the same	9. What have been practical barriers or challenges to supporting this		
will begin to decrease	case?		
🔲 will end			
is sustainable			
is barely sustainable			
will compromise other work	10. Overall, how practical is it for the Legal Network to continue to be involved in this case, without putting at risk other priorities, over-		
5. What is the estimated time that communications staff are currently dedicating to this case?	extending staff or exceeding our budget for this case?		
days per week.	Very impractical Very practical and manageable		

2. Strategic Considerations

- 11. What have been practical barriers or challenges to supporting this case?
- 12. Have there been any legal or policy changes that require reassessment of the Legal Network's continuing involvement in this case?
- 13. Overall, how strategic is it to remain involved in this case on its merits?

1	2	3	4
No longer strategic			Very startegic

14. Based on the progress to date, in what ways (if any) does the Legal Network need to adjust its strategy, including considering an exit strategy?

Summary statement on state of play with the case and adjustments and expectations moving forward:

Tool 4 Strategic Litigation Pre-Assessment, Monitoring and Final Assessment

III FINAL ASSESSMENT

Be as concrete and specific as possible, and attach any relevant documentation

1. Strategic Litigation and Legal Advocacy Outcomes

1. What was the outcome of the case?	6. Did this case help mobilize support from key influentials and attract new supporters?
2. What kind of precedent did it set, if any? (Good, bad, neutral?)	7. Have there been any other outcomes (anticipated or unanticipated)?
 3. Were our arguments adopted by the court? 	8. Overall, how helpful was the case in advancing the Legal Network's policy agenda?
 What kind of media coverage did the case generate? (Good, bad, neutral?) (Attach or link to any media coverage.) 	
	 Practical Considerations How accurate were our estimates of legal and research staff time
	needed for the case?
	We underestimated by a lot
 In what specific ways did the case help empower affected communities through awareness-raising, capacity-building and 	We underestimated by a little
	U We got it about right
making their voice heard?	We overestimated by a little
	U We overestimated by a lot

10. Were we able to give adequate time and resources to communication and media work?

11. Were there unanticipated costs or funding constraints that affected our ability to support the case?

3. Next Steps and Lessons Learned

12. Is there any follow-up work that needs to be done as a result of the decision in this case?

13. Are there any lessons learned we need to keep in mind for future cases?